

SUMMARY OF SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING

DATE: June 26, 2002 (*revised July 16, 2002*)
TO: Ross Dunfee, Steering Committee Chairman
Tony Barrett, Department of Ecology
COPY: Steering Committee Members and Consultant Team
FROM: John Kosco, Tetra Tech & Dave Moss, Tt/KCM
SUBJECT: **Summary of NPDES Phase II Subcommittee Meeting**
Moses Lake Conference Center
June 13, 2002 9:00 am - 3:00 pm
PROJECT: EASTERN WASHINGTON STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
Stormwater Management Technical Manual *and*
Model Municipal NPDES Phase II Stormwater Program

Subcommittee Meeting Attendees:

Ross Dunfee – Benton County	John Knutson – Yakima County
Lucy Peterschmidt – Spokane County	John Kosco – Tetra Tech
Lloyd Brewer – City of Spokane	Joe Wilson – City of Richland
Tom Tebb – Ecology	Tony Barrett – Ecology
Bill Moore – Ecology	Tony Schouviller – Benton County
Lars Hendon <i>Hendron</i> – City of Spokane	Jim Seitz – AWC
John Akers – City of Ellensburg	Gary Harer – City of Moses Lake
Don McGahuey – City of Wenatchee	

PURPOSE OF MEETING:

This meeting was held to gather the core subcommittee members and at-large members to discuss:

- ❖ The updated schedule for the model program
- ❖ Review Chapters 1, 2, 3 and 7
- ❖ Discuss Chapter 9 (Costs)

AGENDA FOR THIS MEETING:

1. Introductions; review of agenda; review of summary from 5/9/02 meeting
2. Present and Discuss Updated Schedule for the Model Program
3. Present and Discuss information received from the stormwater survey
4. Discuss EPA's Model Phase II Permit
5. Review/discuss Chapters 2, 3 and 7 of the draft NPDES Phase II Model Program, focusing on costs
6. Review/discuss Chapter 1
7. Review/summarize highlights of meeting and prepare for Steering Committee meeting
8. Next meeting: date, time, place and agenda topics

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS:

1. The NPDES subcommittee began with a summary of the last meeting. The subcommittee then briefly discussed the current schedule for the model program, which hadn't changed from the previous month.
2. Several additional surveys were received after the last meeting. A summary of all the survey responses was postponed until the July meeting.
3. The schedule was briefly discussed, and it was agreed that the schedule should reflect a second round of public input, in conjunction with the draft NPDES permit, in Feb/March. This second round of public input is not currently in the scope for Tetra Tech, but would be completed by Ecology.
4. John Kosco discussed the EPA model Phase II General MS4 permit that was recently posted on EPA's web site (<http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/modpermit.pdf>). This model permit is intended to apply only to areas where EPA issues NPDES permits, therefore some requirements such as for Endangered Species certification, do not apply in the same way in Washington state. The model permit does not give more detail on permit requirements for the six minimum control measures, but does require the Phase II City/County to submit written documentation on the decision process used to develop the BMPs for each minimum measure. The decision process is documented as a series of questions under each minimum measure.
5. The subcommittee then reviewed Chapters 2, 3 and 7. In chapter 2, where examples are used, specific names will be deleted and organizations will be listed instead. Chapter 3 will be revised to discuss the public review process typically conducted in most municipalities.
6. Most of the meeting time was spent discussing the costs in Chapter 9 and two spreadsheets, one each for the small and large Phase II hypothetical case study. Chapter 9 will be revised to include a general paragraph describing the cost estimates and how they could vary significantly from actual costs. Also, some of the assumptions will be clarified based on comments received at the meeting.
7. The subcommittee agreed, for the public education minimum measures where a number of BMPs are listed as possibilities, that certain BMPs will be assumed for both the small and large case studies. Hour estimates will be revised, where comments were received, and more specific data will be sought to refine the cost estimates.
8. To more specifically develop the costs in Chapter 9, the subcommittee formed a ~~workgroup~~ *workgroup* consisting of Jim Seitz, Tony Barrett, John Knutson, and John Kosco. This workgroup will flesh out some of the costing details for the next draft of Chapter 9.
9. The subcommittee also reviewed Chapter 1. Comments were made to clarify the objective. Also, the general benefits of implementing a Phase II storm water management plan will be described. The text of this chapter will also be reviewed to be consistent with Chapter 1 from the Manual, where necessary.

The **next meeting** will be at the Moses Lake Conference Center on **July 11, 2002**, from 9am to 3:00pm. The agenda will include:

- ❖ Discuss updated schedule
- ❖ Discuss survey results
- ❖ Discuss revised draft of ~~Chapters~~ *Chapter* 1
- ❖ Discuss revised costs for all Chapters
- ❖ Discuss comments on all other Chapters
- ❖ Followed by the Steering Committee Meeting from 3:00pm to 4:00pm